

From: gtsiolis@nj.rr.com [gtsiolis@nj.rr.com]
Sent: 7/18/2018 12:22:15 PM
To: Brown, Byron [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=9242d85c7df343d287659f840d730e65-Brown, Byro]; Fotouhi, David [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=feba0d56aab43f8a9174b18218c1182-Fotouhi, Da]; 'Baynes, Sheila (ENRD)' [Sheila.Baynes@usdoj.gov]
CC: 'DeLaquil, Mark' [mdelaquil@bakerlaw.com]; 'Rita Maguire' [rmaguire@mpwaterlaw.com]
Subject: Florence Copper's Memorandum of Position on the Petition for Judicial Review of the 1997 Aquifer Exemption
Attachments: ATTACHMENT 1 - Petition for Judicial Review of 1997 Aquifer Exemption.pdf; ATTACHMENT 2 - Florence Copper's Memorandum on the Petition.pdf; ATTACHMENT 3 - Petition for Judicial Review of 2017 UIC Permit.pdf

Folks,

As a follow-up to our June 20 meeting regarding the petition for judicial review of the 1997 aquifer exemption which is currently before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals ([Attachment 1](#)), attached please find Florence Copper's memorandum of its position on the regulatory merits of the petition ([Attachment 2](#)).

The memorandum explains the legal basis of Florence Copper's position that EPA's rules necessitate that an aquifer exemption established under 40 C.F.R. §§ 146.4(a)-(b)(1) and 144.7(b)-(c)—i.e., a minerals-based aquifer exemption—cannot be diminished or revoked while (i) there are activities ongoing that a UIC permit issued based on the exemption authorizes within the boundaries of the exemption or (ii) activities in material reliance on the exemption are reasonably expected to occur within the boundaries of the exemption.

Florence Copper respectfully requests that EPA apprise Florence Copper of EPA's position on the regulatory merits of the petition, and whether EPA will take a position that is substantially similar to Florence Copper's position. We request that EPA do so within the next 2-3 weeks, as merits briefing concerning the other petition for judicial review that is before the Ninth Circuit ([Attachment 3](#)) has been scheduled (the petitioners' opening brief is due August 13) and it is conceivable that the court will consolidate its review of the two petitions.

We look forward to your response.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to let us know.

Thank you and best regards,

George A. Tsiolis
Attorney at Law
602-319-4021
201-408-4256
www.gtsiolis.com

Counsel for Florence Copper, Inc.

This email is intended only for the use of the party to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient or have received this email in error, please delete it from your computer and contact 602-319-4021. Thank you.